arXiv:1703.06983v2 [cs.CG] 23 Mar 2017

COLLAPSIBILITY TO A SUBCOMPLEX OF A GIVEN DIMENSION IS NP-COMPLETE

GIOVANNI PAOLINI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we extend the works of Tancer and of Malgouyres and Francés, showing that (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY is NP-complete for $d \geq k+2$ except $(2,0)$. By (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY we mean the following problem: determine whether a given d-dimensional simplicial complex can be collapsed to some k-dimensional subcomplex. The question of establishing the complexity status of (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY was asked by Tancer, who proved NP-completeness of $(d,0)$ and $(d,1)$-COLLAPSIBILITY (for $d \geq 3$). Our extended result, together with the known polynomial-time algorithms for $(2,0)$ and $d = k+1$, answers the question completely.

1. INTRODUCTION

Discrete Morse theory is a powerful combinatorial tool which allows to explicitly simplify cell complexes while preserving their homotopy type [For98, Cha00, BW02, Koz07]. This is obtained through a sequence of "elementary collapses" of pairs of cells. Such process might decrease the dimension of the starting complex, or sometimes even leave a single point (in which case we say that the starting complex was collapsible).

The problem of algorithmically determine collapsibility, or find "good" sequences of elementary collapses, has been studied extensively [EG96, JP06, MF08, BL14, BLPS16, Tan16]. Such problems proved to be computationally hard even for low dimensional simplicial complexes. For 2-dimensional complexes there exists a polynomial-time algorithm to check collapsibility [JP06, MF08], but finding the minimum number of "critical" triangles (without which the remaining complex would be collapsible) is already NP-hard [EG96]. In dimension ≥ 3, collapsibility to some 1-dimensional subcomplex [MF08] or even to a single point [Tan16] were proved to be NP-complete.

In [Tan16], Tancer also introduced the general (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY problem: determine whether a d-dimensional simplicial complex can be collapsed to some k-dimensional subcomplex. He showed that (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY is NP-complete for $k \in \{0,1\}$ and $d \geq 3$, extending the result of Malgouyres and Francés about NP-completeness of $(3,1)$-COLLAPSIBILITY [MF08]. Tancer also pointed out that the codimension 1 case ($d = k+1$) is polynomial-time solvable as is the $(2,0)$ case. He left open the question of determining the complexity status of (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY in general.

In this short paper we extend Tancer's work, and prove that (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY is NP-complete in all the remaining cases.

Theorem 3.2. The (d,k)-COLLAPSIBILITY problem is NP-complete for $d \geq k+2$, except for the case $(2,0)$.

To do so, we prove that (d,k)-Collapsibility admits a polynomial-time reduction to $(d+1,k+1)$-Collapsibility (Theorem 3.1). Then the main result follows by induction on k. The base cases of the induction are given by NP-completeness of $(3,1)$-Collapsibility (for codimension 2) and of $(d,0)$-Collapsibility (for codimension $d \geq 3$).

2. Collapsibility and discrete Morse theory

We refer to [Hat02] for the definition and the basic properties of simplicial complexes, and to [Koz07] for the definition of elementary collapses. The simplicial complexes we consider do not contain the empty simplex, unless otherwise stated. Our focus is the following decision problem.

Problem 2.1: (d,k)-Collapsibility**.**

Parameters:	Non-negative integers $d > k$.
Instance:	A finite d-dimensional simplicial complex X.
Question:	Can X be collapsed to some k-dimensional subcomplex?

We are now going to recall a few definitions of discrete Morse theory [For98, Cha00, Koz07], so that we can state the (d,k)-Collapsibility problem in terms of acyclic matchings.

Given a simplicial complex X, its *Hasse diagram* $H(X)$ is a directed graph in which the set of nodes is the set of simplexes of X, and an arc goes from σ to τ if and only if τ is a face of σ and $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\tau) + 1$. A *matching* $\mathcal{M}$ on X is a set of arcs of $H(X)$ such that every node of $H(X)$ (i.e. simplex of X) is contained in at most one arc in $\mathcal{M}$. Given a matching $\mathcal{M}$ on X, we say that a simplex $\sigma \in X$ is *critical* if it doesn't belong to any arc in $\mathcal{M}$. Finally we say that a matching $\mathcal{M}$ on X is *acyclic* if the graph $H(X)^{\mathcal{M}}$, obtained from $H(X)$ by reversing the direction of each arc in $\mathcal{M}$, does not contain directed cycles.

By standard facts of discrete Morse theory (see for instance [Koz07], Section 11.2), "collapsibility to some k-dimensional subcomplex" is equivalent to "existence of an acyclic matching such that the critical cells form a k-dimensional subcomplex". Notice that, given an acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ without critical simplices of dimension $> k$, one can always remove from $\mathcal{M}$ the arcs between simplices of dimension $\leq k$ and obtain an acyclic matching $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that the critical simplices form a k-dimensional subcomplex. Therefore the collapsibility problem can be restated as follows.

Problem 2.2: (d,k)-Collapsibility (equivalent form)**.**

Parameters:	Non-negative integers $d > k$.
Instance:	A finite d-dimensional simplicial complex X.
Question:	Does X admit an acyclic matching such that all critical simplices have dimension $\leq k$?

To simplify the proof of Theorem 3.1 we quote the following useful lemma from [Koz07], adapting it to our notation.

Theorem 2.3 (Patchwork theorem, [Koz07])**.** Let P be a poset. Let $\varphi\colon X \to P$ be an order-preserving map (where the order on X is given by inclusion), and assume

to have acyclic matchings on subposets $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ for all $p \in P$. Then the union of these matchings is itself an acyclic matching on X.

Notice that the subposets $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ are not subcomplexes of X in general, but still they have a well-defined Hasse diagram (the induced subgraph of $H(X)$). Thus all the previous definitions (matching, critical simplex, acyclic matching) apply also to each subposet.

3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. Let $d > k \geq 0$. Then there is a polynomial-time reduction from (d,k)-Collapsibility to $(d+1,k+1)$-Collapsibility.

Proof. Let X be an instance of (d,k)-Collapsibility, i.e. a d-dimensional simplicial complex. Let $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ be the vertex set of X. Construct an instance X' of $(d+1,k+1)$-Collapsibility, i.e. a $(d+1)$-dimensional complex, as follows. Let $n \geq 1$ be the number of simplices in X. Roughly speaking, X' is obtained from X by attaching $n+1$ cones of X to X. More formally, introduce new vertices $w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}$ and define X' as the simplicial complex on the vertex set $V' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r, w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}\}$ given by

$$X' = X \cup \Big\{ \sigma \cup \{w_i\} \;\Big|\; \sigma \in X,\ i = 1, \ldots, n+1 \Big\}.$$

Then X' has $n(n+2)$ simplices. We are going to prove that X is a yes-instance of (d,k)-Collapsibility if and only if X' is a yes-instance of $(d+1,k+1)$-Collapsibility.

Suppose that X is a yes-instance of (d,k)-Collapsibility. Then there exists an acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ on X such that all critical simplices have dimension $\leq k$. Construct a matching $\mathcal{M}'$ on X' as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}' \;=\; & \Big\{ \sigma \cup \{w_1\} \to \sigma \;\Big|\; \sigma \in X \Big\} \cup \\ & \Big\{ \sigma \cup \{w_i\} \to \tau \cup \{w_i\} \;\Big|\; (\sigma \to \tau) \in \mathcal{M},\ i = 2, \ldots, n+1 \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

This matching corresponds to collapsing the first cone together with X (only the vertex w_1 remains), and every other "base-less" cone by itself (as a copy of X). To prove that $\mathcal{M}'$ is acyclic, consider the set $P = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}\}$ with the partial order

$$w_i < w_j \text{ if and only if } i = 1 \text{ and } j > 1.$$

Let $\varphi\colon X' \to P$ be the order-preserving map given by

$$\varphi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} w_j & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } w_j \text{ for some } j \geq 2; \\ w_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{M}'$ is a union of matchings $\mathcal{M}'_j$ on each fiber $\varphi^{-1}(w_j)$. The matching $\mathcal{M}'_1$ is acyclic on $\varphi^{-1}(w_1)$, since the arcs of $\mathcal{M}'_1$ define a cut of the Hasse diagram of $\varphi^{-1}(w_1)$. The Hasse diagram of each $\varphi^{-1}(w_j)$ for $j \geq 2$ is isomorphic to $H(X \cup \{\varnothing\})$, and the matching $\mathcal{M}_j$ maps to $\mathcal{M}$ via this isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is acyclic on $H(X)$, each $\mathcal{M}_j$ is also acyclic on $\varphi^{-1}(w_j)$. By the Patchwork theorem (Theorem 2.3), $\mathcal{M}'$ is acyclic on X'.

The set of critical simplices of $\mathcal{M}'$ is

$$\mathrm{Cr}(X', \mathcal{M}') = \{w_1\} \cup \Big\{ \sigma \cup \{w_i\} \;\Big|\; \sigma \in \mathrm{Cr}(X, \mathcal{M}) \cup \{\varnothing\},\ i = 2, \ldots, n+1 \Big\}.$$

In particular, all critical simplices have dimension $\leq k+1$. Therefore X' is a yes-instance of $(d+1, k+1)$-Collapsibility.

Conversely, suppose now that X' is a yes-instance of $(d+1, k+1)$-Collapsibility. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be an acyclic matching on X' such that all critical simplices have dimension $\leq k+1$. Since X contains n simplices, and there are $n+1$ cones, there must exist an index $j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that

$$\Big(\sigma \cup \{w_j\} \to \sigma\Big) \notin \mathcal{M}' \quad \forall\, \sigma \in X.$$

In other words, the matching on the j-th cone cannot mix simplices containing w_j and simplices not containing w_j. Then we can construct a matching $\mathcal{M}$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} = \Big\{\sigma \to \tau \;\Big|\; \sigma, \tau \in X \text{ satisfying } \Big(\sigma \cup \{w_j\} \to \tau \cup \{w_j\}\Big) \in \mathcal{M}'\Big\}.$$

Notice that if there is some 0-dimensional $\sigma \in X$ such that $(\sigma \cup \{w_j\} \to \{w_j\}) \in \mathcal{M}'$, then σ is critical with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ (it would be matched with $\tau = \varnothing$ which doesn't exist in X). The Hasse diagram of X injects into the Hasse diagram of the j-th cone via the map

$$\iota\colon \sigma \mapsto \sigma \cup \{w_j\},$$

and by construction arcs of $\mathcal{M}$ map to arcs of $\mathcal{M}'$. Since $\mathcal{M}'$ is acyclic, $\mathcal{M}$ is also acyclic. The set of critical simplices of $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\mathrm{Cr}(X, \mathcal{M}) = \Big\{\sigma \in X \;\Big|\; \sigma \cup \{w_j\} \in \mathrm{Cr}(X', \mathcal{M}') \text{ or } \Big(\sigma \cup \{w_j\} \to \{w_j\}\Big) \in \mathcal{M}'\Big\}.$$

In the first case $\sigma \cup \{w_j\}$ has dimension $\leq k+1$, and in the second case σ is 0-dimensional. In particular, all critical simplices have dimension $\leq k$. Therefore X is a yes-instance of (d, k)-Collapsibility. □

The (d, k)-Collapsibility problem admits a polynomial-time solution when $d = k+1$ and also for the case $(2, 0)$ [JP06, MF08, Tan16]. Malgouyres and Francés [MF08] proved that $(3, 1)$-Collapsibility is NP-complete, and Tancer extended this result to (d, k)-Collapsibility for $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and for all $d \geq 3$. Using this as the base step and Theorem 3.1 as the induction step, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. The (d, k)-Collapsibility problem is NP-complete for $d \geq k+2$, except for the case $(2, 0)$. □

4. Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my father, Maurizio Paolini, for giving useful comments and suggesting corrections. I would also like to thank Luca Ghidelli, for checking the proof carefully and for being my best man.

References

[BL14] B. Benedetti and F. H. Lutz, *Random discrete Morse theory and a new library of triangulations*, Experimental Mathematics **23** (2014), no. 1, 66–94.

[BLPS16] B. A. Burton, T. Lewiner, J. Paixão, and J. Spreer, *Parameterized complexity of discrete Morse theory*, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS) **42** (2016), no. 1, 6.

[BW02] E. Batzies and V. Welker, *Discrete Morse theory for cellular resolutions*, Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (2002), 147–168.

[Cha00] M. K. Chari, *On discrete Morse functions and combinatorial decompositions*, Discrete Mathematics **217** (2000), no. 1, 101–113.

[EG96] Ö. Eğecioğlu and T. F. Gonzalez, *A computationally intractable problem on simplicial complexes*, Computational Geometry **6** (1996), no. 2, 85–98.

[For98] R. Forman, *Morse theory for cell complexes*, Advances in mathematics **134** (1998), no. 1, 90–145.

[Hat02] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[JP06] M. Joswig and M. E. Pfetsch, *Computing optimal Morse matchings*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **20** (2006), no. 1, 11–25.

[Koz07] D. Kozlov, *Combinatorial algebraic topology*, vol. 21, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.

[MF08] R. Malgouyres and A. R. Francés, *Determining whether a simplicial 3-complex collapses to a 1-complex is NP-complete*, International Conference on Discrete Geometry for Computer Imagery, Springer, 2008, pp. 177–188.

[Tan16] M. Tancer, *Recognition of collapsible complexes is NP-complete*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **55** (2016), no. 1, 21–38.